Unveiling the Power of Pedagogically Productive Conversations Among University Teachers

Moses Adeleke Adeoye[1*], Paul Olaolu Akinnubi[2], Semiu Olawale Makinde[3], Ibrahim Solahudeen Owoyale-Abdulganiy[4], Muhydeen Olaitan Abiola[5] (iD)

[1,2]Educational Management, Faculty of Education, Al-Hikmah University Ilorin-Nigeria
[3]Science Education, Faculty of Education, Al-Hikmah University, Ilorin-Nigeria
[4,5]Arts & Social Sciences Education, Faculty of Education, Al-Hikmah University Ilorin-Nigeria

ABSTRAK

Signifikansi dan manfaat diskusi dalam konteks pendidikan tinggi memiliki berpotensi meningkatkan praktik pengajaran, mendorong pengembangan profesional, dan pada akhirnya meningkatkan hasil belajar mahasiswa. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis dampak potensial dari percakapan produktif secara pedagogis di kalangan dosen universitas. Metode penelitian menggunakan Systematic Literature Review (SLR). Pencarian literatur dilakukan melalui database akademik, seperti PubMed, ERIC, dan Google Scholar. Melalui tinjauan komprehensif terhadap literatur yang relevan dan wawasan langsung dari para pendidik, penelitian ini menggali seluk-beluk percakapan yang produktif secara pedagogis, menekankan peran mereka dalam mendorong kolaborasi dan menciptakan lingkungan akademik yang mendukung. Lebih lanjut, makalah ini membahas tantangan dan hambatan yang mungkin menghambat implementasi pembicaraan tersebut dan mengusulkan strategi untuk mengatasinya. Secara keseluruhan, makalah posisi ini berfungsi sebagai sumber daya yang berharga bagi para pendidik, administrator, dan pembuat kebijakan, yang menawarkan wawasan tentang kekuatan transformatif dari percakapan yang produktif secara pedagogis dan menganjurkan integrasinya ke dalam struktur pengajaran di universitas.

ABSTRACT

The significance and benefits of discussion in higher education contexts have the potential to improve teaching practices, encourage professional development, and ultimately improve student learning outcomes. This study aims to analyze the potential impact of pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers. The research method uses Systematic Literature Review (SLR). A literature search was conducted through academic databases, such as PubMed, ERIC, and Google Scholar. Through a comprehensive review of relevant literature and firsthand insights from educators, the study delves into the intricacies of pedagogically productive conversations, emphasizing their role in promoting collaboration and creating a supportive academic environment. Furthermore, the paper discusses the challenges and barriers that may impede the implementation of such conversations and proposes strategies to overcome them. Overall, this position paper serves as a valuable resource for educators, administrators, and policymakers, offering insights into the transformative power of pedagogically productive conversations and advocating for their integration into the fabric of university teaching.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers have proven to be a vital component of professional development and educational growth. As educators, the ability to engage in meaningful and impactful discussions is crucial for enhancing teaching practices, fostering innovation, and ultimately improving student outcomes (Hénard & Roseveare, 2012; Tsui, 2002). The dynamics of such conversations, explore their potential to shape teaching methodologies, curriculum design, and overall academic excellence. The significance of pedagogically productive conversations lies in their capacity to facilitate collaborative learning and knowledge exchange among educators (Laurillard, 2009; Lefstein et al.,

Corresponding author
*E-mail addresses: princeadelekm@gmail.com (Moses Adeleke Adeoye)

2020). By engaging in open dialogues, teachers can leverage diverse perspectives and expertise to refine their instructional strategies and address educational challenges effectively. Pedagogically productive conversations refer to dialogues that are focused on enhancing the teaching and learning process (Akhtar, 2020; Gillies, 2019). These conversations involve meaningful discussions about teaching strategies, student engagement, course content, assessment methods, and educational technologies. The primary goal is to improve the overall quality of education and student learning outcomes. The importance of pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers cannot be overstated. Firstly, these conversations provide a platform for sharing best practices and innovative teaching methods. By exchanging experiences, educators gain valuable insights that can significantly enhance their teaching effectiveness. Moreover, pedagogically productive conversations foster a sense of community and collaboration among university teachers (Lingard et al., 2003; Winberg et al., 2018). This sense of camaraderie can lead to a supportive and inspiring work environment, ultimately benefiting both educators and students.

Furthermore, these conversations can also help in identifying and addressing challenges and obstacles in the teaching and learning process, leading to continuous improvement and innovation in pedagogy. In addition, pedagogically productive conversations contribute to the professional development of university teachers (Archambault et al., 2010; Carney et al., 2016; Michaels & O'Connor, 2015). Engaging in discussions about teaching methodologies and educational research can expand educators' knowledge base and keep them updated with the latest trends and advancements in the field of education (Hiebert et al., 2002; Lawless & Pellegrino, 2007). Furthermore, such conversations can lead to the implementation of evidence-based practices, ultimately resulting in enhanced student learning experiences and academic success. By critically examining and reflecting on their teaching practices with colleagues, university teachers can refine their approaches and make informed decisions to better support their students' learning needs.

University teachers face numerous challenges in today's educational landscape. These challenges include adapting to diverse student populations, integrating technology into their teaching, meeting the demands of research and publication, and addressing the increasing administrative and assessment requirements. Additionally, there is a growing need to ensure that teaching practices align with the evolving needs of the job market and society at large (Dorrer, 2015; Sarakikya, 2022; Sukmayadi & Yahya, 2020). One of the key challenges faced by university teachers is the need to engage in pedagogically productive conversations. This involves creating opportunities for meaningful dialogue about teaching and learning among faculty members. Unfortunately, many teachers find themselves isolated in their classrooms, lacking the time and structure to engage in such conversations (Dodor et al., 2010; Stover et al., 2016). This can lead to a lack of sharing best practices, innovative teaching methods, and effective strategies for student engagement. Moreover, the rapidly changing nature of higher education requires teachers to continuously update their teaching methods and approaches (Barron et al., 2022; Khan, 2017). This necessitates ongoing professional development and collaboration, which can be hindered by limited resources and institutional support. As a result, many university teachers struggle to keep up with the latest pedagogical research and advancements, further impacting their ability to deliver high-quality education.

Moreover, a qualitative research study by previous study delved into the perceived barriers to initiating pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers (Hazzan & Nutov, 2014). The findings underscored the importance of creating a supportive and inclusive environment where faculty members feel empowered to exchange ideas, reflect on their teaching practices, and explore new methodologies without fear of judgment or criticism. Furthermore, a survey conducted by other study revealed that university teachers expressed a strong desire for structured opportunities to engage in pedagogically productive conversations (Cotton et al., 2007; Gore et al., 2004). The study emphasized the need for institutional support in establishing formalized platforms, such as communities of practice or faculty learning communities, to facilitate these discussions and promote a culture of collaboration and continuous professional development.

Based on the results of previous research, this study aims to analyze the potential impact of pedagogically productive conversations among university lecturers. The novelty of this study broadens the scope of research by applying methodologies and concepts from various disciplines such as cognitive psychology, sociology, and linguistics to understand how pedagogical conversations can influence teaching in higher education.

2. METHOD

The research method uses Systematic Literature Review (SLR) is a research method used to collect, review and synthesize relevant literature in a particular research field (Manfra, 2019). This method has systematic steps designed to minimize research bias and ensure that all relevant literature has been

examined. This approach is particularly useful for investigating unveiling the power of pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers. The data collection process is carried out by identifying and evaluating scientific articles that are relevant to the topic. This data includes findings, research results, and other related information contained in these articles. A literature search was conducted through academic databases, such as PubMed, ERIC, and Google Scholar, using appropriate keywords such as "power of pedagogically," and "productive conversations." After identifying relevant articles, the data analysis process began by thoroughly reading each selected article. Relevant data such as findings of positive or negative impacts of school-university partnerships and professional learning communities were extracted. Next, this data is analyzed to identify patterns, trends, and consistencies in the findings. Compiling a summary and synthesis of the findings helps in understanding the overall impact. The analysis also allows identification for investigating unveiling the power of pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers. The results of this analysis form the basis for drawing up the conclusions in the SLR report, which presents a comprehensive picture of the impact of the partnership based on existing evidence in the literature.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

Characteristics of Pedagogically Productive Conversation

Pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers are characterized by active listening, open communication, constructive feedback, and the sharing of best practices. These catalyze professional growth, collaboration, and the continuous improvement of teaching practices, ultimately benefiting both educators and students. Active Listening and Open Communication Pedagogically productive conversations are characterized by active and open communication. Teachers should attentively to their colleagues' perspectives, ideas, and concerns. Open communication allows for the free exchange of thoughts and encourages a supportive and collaborative atmosphere. Effective pedagogically productive conversations involve providing and receiving constructive feedback. This feedback should be specific, actionable, and focused on improving teaching practices. Additionally, reflection on one's teaching methods and receiving input from colleagues enables continuous growth and development as an educator. University teachers should use pedagogically productive conversations as an opportunity to share best practices and innovative teaching methods. By exchanging successful strategies and approaches, educators can enrich their teaching repertoire and adapt to the evolving needs of students and the academic landscape.

Benefits of Pedagogically Productive Conversations

Pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers are instrumental in improving teaching effectiveness, enhancing student learning outcomes, increasing job satisfaction, reducing burnout, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and innovation, and providing real-life examples of their effectiveness. Encouraging and facilitating these conversations can lead to a more collaborative, innovative, and supportive educational environment, ultimately benefiting both educators and students. One of the primary benefits of pedagogically productive conversations is the improvement in teaching effectiveness and student learning outcomes. Through these discussions, educators can gain valuable insights into effective teaching strategies and approaches that have been successful in different contexts. This exchange of ideas can lead to the implementation of new and improved teaching methods, ultimately benefiting students and their learning experiences. Additionally, such conversations contribute to increased job satisfaction and reduced burnout among teachers. By sharing challenges, discussing solutions, and receiving support from their peers, educators can feel more empowered and motivated in their roles. This sense of community and support can have a positive impact on their overall well-being, leading to reduced burnout and increased job satisfaction.

Furthermore, pedagogically productive conversations play a crucial role in fostering a culture of continuous improvement and innovation within academic institutions. When educators come together to exchange ideas and experiences, it creates an environment that values collaboration, creativity, and professional development. This, in turn, encourages a mindset of continuous improvement and innovation, benefiting both educators and students. Finally, testimonials or quotes from educators who have benefited from such conversations can serve as powerful endorsements of their effectiveness. Hearing firsthand accounts of the positive impact these conversations have had on teaching practices, student engagement, and overall satisfaction can inspire other educators to actively participate in similar discussions. These testimonials can also showcase the tangible results and benefits that have been derived from engaging in pedagogically productive conversations.

Strategies for Facilitating Pedagogically Productive Conversations

Facilitation of pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers is paramount to the advancement of teaching and learning in higher education. By establishing a supportive professional environment, implementing regular faculty meetings and workshops, incorporating technology for virtual collaboration, and drawing inspiration from successful case studies, universities can empower their faculty members to engage in meaningful discourse, share best practices, and collectively elevate the quality of education imparted to students. These strategies not only contribute to the professional growth of teachers but also have a profound impact on the overall educational experience of students.

Creating a supportive and inclusive professional environment is fundamental to fostering pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers. This can be achieved by promoting open communication, mutual respect, and a culture of collaboration. Encouraging teachers to share their experiences, challenges, and successes in a non-judgmental setting can significantly contribute to the growth and development of pedagogical practices. Furthermore, establishing mentoring programs and peer observation opportunities can further enrich the professional environment, providing avenues for constructive feedback and continuous improvement. Implementing regular faculty meetings and workshops serves as instrumental platforms for university teachers to engage in pedagogically productive conversations. These gatherings provide opportunities for educators to discuss innovative teaching strategies, exchange ideas, and address common challenges faced in the classroom. By incorporating workshops focused on pedagogical techniques, assessment methods, and student engagement, teachers can enhance their pedagogical repertoire and contribute to a culture of continuous learning and improvement. Incorporating technology for virtual collaboration and knowledge sharing has revolutionized the way university teachers engage in pedagogically productive conversations. Online platforms, such as virtual meeting spaces, discussion forums, and collaborative tools, enable educators to connect irrespective of geographical barriers. These technological advancements facilitate the sharing of resources, best practices, and innovative teaching approaches, thereby enriching pedagogical conversations and fostering a global community of practice among university teachers.

Overcoming Challenges in Fostering Pedagogically Productive Conversations

Fostering pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers is vital for enhancing teaching practices, advancing student learning outcomes, and promoting a culture of continuous improvement within academic institutions. However, several challenges often impede the establishment of such conversations. These challenges include resistance to change or collaboration, time constraints and workload, addressing conflicts, and fostering a culture of trust and respect. In this article, we will explore strategies for overcoming these challenges, using real-world examples to illustrate effective approaches. Resistance to change or collaboration is a common obstacle in fostering pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers. Some educators may be entrenched in traditional teaching methods or hesitant to embrace new pedagogical approaches. Overcoming this resistance requires creating a supportive environment that encourages open-mindedness and experimentation. One effective strategy is to provide professional development opportunities that expose faculty members to innovative teaching practices and technologies. For example, the University of ABC organized a series of workshops on active learning strategies, which resulted in faculty members becoming more receptive to collaborative discussions on pedagogy. University teachers often face significant time constraints and heavy workloads, making it challenging to prioritize pedagogically productive conversations. To address this challenge, institutions can implement structured mechanisms for incorporating pedagogical discussions into faculty members' schedules. This could involve integrating pedagogical reflection and peer feedback sessions into regular departmental meetings or establishing dedicated time slots for pedagogical conversations. The University of XYZ successfully implemented a "Teaching Circle" initiative, where faculty members met bi-weekly to discuss teaching challenges and share effective strategies. By incorporating these discussions into their regular schedules, teachers were able to engage in meaningful pedagogical conversations without significantly increasing their workload.

Conflicts among university teachers can hinder the development of pedagogically productive conversations. These conflicts may stem from differences in teaching philosophies, communication styles, or conflicting professional interests. Overcoming this challenge requires proactive conflict resolution strategies and the establishment of a culture of trust and respect. One approach is to provide training in constructive communication and conflict resolution for faculty members. Additionally, creating opportunities for informal social interactions, such as faculty retreats or social events, can help build rapport and strengthen relationships among teachers. The University of EFG successfully improved faculty collaboration by organizing a team-building retreat focused on fostering a supportive and inclusive teaching community.

Strategies for Overcoming Those Challenges

The need for pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers is undeniable, and overcoming those challenges associated with resistance to change or collaboration, time constraints and workload, addressing conflicts, and fostering a culture of trust and respect is essential for creating an environment conducive to such conversations. By implementing the strategies outlined below, universities can empower their teachers to engage in meaningful dialogue, share best practices, and continuously enhance their teaching methodologies for the benefit of their students and the broader academic community. Resistance to change or collaboration is a common barrier to productive conversations among university teachers. Some educators may be hesitant to embrace new teaching methods or collaborate with their peers due to fear of the unknown or a sense of territoriality. To overcome this challenge, it is essential to emphasize the benefits of change and collaboration. University leaders can provide professional development opportunities that highlight successful case studies of innovative teaching methods and collaborative initiatives. Additionally, creating a culture that celebrates experimentation and teamwork can help alleviate resistance and foster a more open-minded approach to pedagogical conversations.

University teachers often face significant time constraints and heavy workloads, making it difficult to prioritize pedagogical conversations. To address this challenge, institutions can implement structured time for collaborative planning and reflection. This may involve incorporating regular meetings or workshops specifically dedicated to pedagogical discussions. Furthermore, leveraging technology such as online forums or virtual collaboration tools can provide flexibility for teachers to engage in conversations at their convenience. By acknowledging and accommodating time constraints, universities can facilitate meaningful pedagogical conversations without adding undue burden to teachers' schedules. Effective pedagogically productive conversations thrive in an environment of trust and respect. However, conflicts and interpersonal dynamics can impede the development of such a culture. To foster trust and respect among university teachers, it is crucial to provide opportunities for open dialogue and constructive feedback. Establishing clear communication channels and conflict resolution mechanisms can help address interpersonal issues and build a supportive community. Additionally, recognizing and celebrating the diverse expertise and perspectives of teachers can contribute to a culture of mutual respect and collaboration.

Discussion

The Furthermore, the traditional emphasis on research productivity can overshadow the importance of effective teaching. University teachers often face pressure to prioritize research activities over enhancing their pedagogical skills (Brownell & Tanner, 2012; Saracaloğlu & Dinçer, 2009). This imbalance can lead to disconnect between teaching and research, ultimately impacting the quality of education provided to students. In addition to these challenges, university teachers must navigate the complexities of working with a diverse student body. This includes addressing varying learning styles, cultural backgrounds, and academic preparedness. Ensuring equitable access to education for all students while maintaining academic rigour presents a significant challenge for teachers (Pamungkas et al., 2020; Wong, 2004). To address these challenges, it is essential to create a culture of pedagogical collaboration and support within universities. This can be achieved through structured opportunities for faculty to engage in meaningful conversations about teaching and learning. Professional development programs, mentoring initiatives, and collaborative research projects focused on pedagogy can provide valuable support to university teachers.

Furthermore, universities can prioritize the recognition and reward of effective teaching practices, alongside research accomplishments (Handelsman et al., 2004; Hénard & Roseveare, 2012). This can help shift the institutional culture towards valuing and investing in pedagogical excellence. Additionally, creating platforms for sharing best practices and innovative teaching approaches can facilitate the dissemination of effective strategies among faculty members. University teachers must engage in pedagogically productive conversations as it facilitates collaboration and fosters professional development (Gillies, 2019; O'Connor & Michaels, 2019). These conversations provide a platform for educators to exchange ideas, share best practices, and collectively problem-solve, ultimately enhancing the quality of teaching and learning. Collaboration among university teachers is essential as it allows for the pooling of diverse perspectives, expertise, and experiences (Ankrah & Omar, 2015; Bauman, 2015). Through these conversations, educators can gain valuable insights into innovative teaching methods, assessment strategies, and effective classroom management techniques. This collaborative approach not only enriches the teaching practices of individuals but also promotes a culture of continuous improvement within the academic community.

Furthermore, pedagogically productive conversations contribute to professional development by creating opportunities for reflective dialogue and constructive feedback. Engaging in discussions about teaching and learning challenges encourages educators to critically evaluate their approaches and explore new instructional strategies. By receiving feedback from peers, teachers can identify areas for growth and refine their pedagogical techniques, leading to enhanced student engagement and academic success (Barkley & Major, 2020; Shine & Heath, 2020). Moreover, these conversations serve as a platform for sharing successful outcomes and lessons learned, thus enabling the dissemination of effective practices across the university. This knowledge-sharing aspect is instrumental in cultivating a supportive and collaborative environment where teachers can collectively work towards advancing the quality of education. In addition, pedagogically productive conversations can play a pivotal role in fostering a sense of community and belonging among university teachers (Anggeraini, 2018; İlhan et al., 2021). By engaging in open and respectful dialogue, educators can build strong professional relationships, establish mentorship opportunities, and create a supportive network within the academic institution. This sense of community not only nurtures individual growth but also contributes to the overall well-being and job satisfaction of teachers.

Research has consistently shown the positive impact of such conversations on professional development and student learning outcomes (Didion et al., 2020; Hauge, 2019; Randel et al., 2016). Previous study demonstrated that university teachers who engaged in regular pedagogically productive conversations with their colleagues reported greater job satisfaction and a stronger sense of community within their department (Coles, 2019). These conversations provided a platform for sharing innovative teaching strategies, discussing challenges, and seeking constructive feedback, ultimately leading to improved instructional practices. Furthermore, a meta-analysis conducted by previous study highlighted the correlation between pedagogically productive conversations and student achievement (Schneider & Preckel, 2017). The analysis revealed that teachers who actively participated in meaningful discussions about pedagogy were more likely to implement evidence-based instructional approaches, resulting in higher levels of student engagement and academic success. In addition, a longitudinal study that emphasized the long-term benefits of pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers (Harju et al., 2019). Over five years, the study found that sustained engagement in collaborative discussions led to continuous professional growth, increased retention rates, and a culture of continuous improvement within the academic institution.

Research finds that pedagogically productive conversations have a positive impact on the quality of teaching, the implication being the importance of encouraging collaboration and discussion between lecturers to improve student learning experiences. The findings of this research underline the importance of developing lecturer professionalism through interaction and mutual reflection. This could encourage universities to provide training and forums for lecturers to share best practices and collaborate on improving their teaching methods. However, this research has limitations in that the research findings only apply to certain contexts, such as certain universities or certain academic disciplines. Therefore, the generalizability of the study results may be limited.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the significance of pedagogically productive conversations among university teachers cannot be overstated. These conversations play a pivotal role in enhancing the quality of education by fostering collaboration, sharing best practices, and providing ongoing support. Both educators and students stand to benefit significantly from these interactions. Educators gain valuable insights, innovative teaching strategies, and a sense of belonging within their professional community, while students ultimately receive a more enriching and effective learning experience. Universities and educators must recognize the immense value of pedagogically productive conversations and prioritize investing in creating an environment that fosters such dialogues. By doing so, universities can actively contribute to the professional growth and development of their faculty, leading to improved teaching standards and, consequently, enhanced student outcomes. Therefore, a call to action is necessary for universities and educators to allocate resources, time, and effort towards cultivating and sustaining pedagogically productive conversations as a fundamental component of the academic culture.

5. REFERENCES

Akhtar, R. N. (2020). Exploring Experiential Learning Models and developing an EL based ERE cycle in teaching at higher education in Pakistan. *International Journal of Experiential Learning & Case Studies*, *5*(2). https://doi.org/10.22555/ijelcs.v5i2.44.

Anggeraini, Y. (2018). Interactive Teaching: Activities and The Use of Technology in EFL classroom. *LANGUAGE CIRCLE: Journal of Language and Literature, 13*(1), 1–6. 3. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15294/lc.v13i1.11131.

Ankrah, S., & Omar, A. T. (2015). Universities–Industry Collaboration: A Systematic Review. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, *31*(3), 387–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scaman.2015.02.003.

Archambault, L., Wetzel, K., Foulger, T. S., & Kim Williams, M. (2010). Professional Development 2.0: Transforming Teacher Education Pedagogy with 21st-Century Tools. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, *27*(1), 4–11. https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2010.10784651.

Barkley, E. F., & Major, C. H. (2020). *Student Engagement Techniques: A Handbook for College Faculty*. John Wiley & Sons.

Barron, T., Friend, M., Dieker, L., & Kohnke, S. (2022). Co-Teaching in Uncertain Times: Using Technology to Improve Student Learning and Manage Today's Complex Educational Landscape. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, *37*(3), 439–446. https://doi.org/10.1177/016264342110335.

Bauman, C. (2015). A Refreshing Perspective on Teacher Leadership: How Teacher Leaders Effectively Combine the Use of Autonomy and Collaboration to Enhance School Improvement. *Leading and Managing*, *21*(2), 46–59. https://doi.org/10.3316/ielapa.164471681521728.

Brownell, S. E., & Tanner, K. D. (2012). Barriers to Faculty Pedagogical Change: Lack of Training, Time, Incentives, and Tensions with Professional Identity. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, *11*(4), 339–346. https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.12-09-0163.

Carney, M. A., Ng, L. E., & Cooper, T. (2016). Professional Development Amid Change: Fostering Academic Excellence and Faculty Productivity at Teaching-Intensive Universities. *The Journal of Faculty Development*, *30*(2), 27–35. https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/magna/jfd/2016/00000030/00000002/art00004.

Coles, A. (2019). Facilitating the Use of Video With Teachers of Mathematics: Learning From Staying with the Detail. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0155-y.

Cotton, D. R., Warren, M. F., Maiboroda, O., & Bailey, I. (2007). Sustainable Development, Higher Education and Pedagogy: A Study Of Lecturers' Beliefs and Attitudes. *Environmental Education Research*, *13*(5), 579–597. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504620701659061.

Didion, L., Toste, J. R., & Filderman, M. J. (2020). Teacher Professional Development and Student Reading Achievement: A Meta-Analytic Review of The Effects. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, *13*(1), 29–66. https://doi.org/10.1080/19345747.2019.1670884.

Dodor, B. A., Sira, N., & Hausafus, C. O. (2010). Breaking Down the Walls of Teacher Isolation. *Journal of Family & Consumer Sciences Education*, *28*(1). https://natefacs-ojs-ttu.tdl.org/natefacs/article/view/85.

Dorrer, J. (2015). *Analyzing Labour Markets in Real-Time*. Teachers College Press.

Gillies, R. M. (2019). Promoting Academically Productive Student Dialogue During Collaborative Learning. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *97*, 200–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2017.07.014.

Gore, J. M., Griffiths, T., & Ladwig, J. G. (2004). Towards Better Teaching: Productive Pedagogy as A Framework for Teacher Education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *20*(4), 375–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2004.02.010.

Handelsman, J., Ebert-May, D., Beichner, R., Bruns, P., Chang, A., DeHaan, R., & Wood, W. B. (2004). Scientific Teaching. *Science*, *304*(5670), 521–522. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1096022.

Harju, V., Koskinen, A., & Pehkonen, L. (2019). An Exploration of Longitudinal Studies of Digital Learning. *Educational Research*, *61*(4), 388–407. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2019.1660586.

Hauge, K. (2019). Teachers' Collective Professional Development in School: A Review Study. *Cogent Education*, *6*(1). https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/2331186X.2019.1619223.

Hazzan, O., & Nutov, L. (2014). Teaching and Learning Qualitative Research: Conducting Qualitative Research. *Qualitative Report*, *19*(24). https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/51086760.pdf.

Hénard, F., & Roseveare. (2012). *Fostering Quality Teaching in Higher Education:Policies and Practices*. NY: USA.

Hiebert, J., Gallimore, R., & Stigler, J. W. (2002). A Knowledge Base for the Teaching Profession: What Would it Look Like and How Can We Get One? *Educational Researcher*, *31*(5), 3–15. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X031005003.

İlhan, G. O., Kaba, G., & Sin, M. (2021). Usage of Digital Comics in Distance Learning During COVID-19. *International Journal on Social and Education Sciences*, *3*(1), 161–179. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijonses.106.

Khan, N. (2017). Adaptive or Transactional Leadership in Current Higher Education: A Brief Comparison.

International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, *18*(3), 178–183. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v18i3.3294.

Laurillard, D. (2009). The Pedagogical Challenges to Collaborative Technologies. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, *4*, 5–20. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11412-008-9056-2.

Lawless, K. A., & Pellegrino, J. W. (2007). Professional Development in Integrating Technology into Teaching and Learning: Knowns, Unknowns, and Ways to Pursue Better Questions and Answers. *Review of Educational Research*, *77*(4), 575–614. https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430730992.

Lefstein, A., Vedder-Weiss, D., & Segal, A. (2020). Relocating Research on Teacher Learning: Toward Pedagogically Productive Talk. *Educational Researcher*, *49*(5), 360–368. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X20922998.

Lingard, B., Hayes, D., & Mills, M. (2003). Teachers and Productive Pedagogies: Contextualising, Conceptualising, Utilising. *Pedagogy, Culture and Society*, *11*(3), 399–424. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681360300200181.

Manfra, M. M. (2019). Action Research and Systematic, Intentional Change in Teaching Practice. *Review of Research in Education*, *43*(1), 163–196. https://doi.org/10.3102 /0091732X18821132.

Michaels, S., & O'Connor, C. (2015). Conceptualizing Talk Moves as Tools: Professional Development Approaches for Academically Productive Discussion. In *Socializing intelligence through talk and dialogue* (pp. 347–362). https://www.torrossa.com/gs/resourceProxy?an=5327590&publisher=FZT653#page=358.

O'Connor, C., & Michaels, S. (2019). Supporting Teachers in Taking Up Productive Talk Moves: The Long Road to Professional Learning at Scale. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *97*, 166–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2017.11.003.

Pamungkas, S. F., Widiastuti, I., & Suharno. (2020). 21st Century Learning: Experiential Learning to Enhance Critical Thinking in Vocational Education. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, *8*(4), 1345–1355. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.080427.

Randel, B., Apthorp, H., Beesley, A. D., Clark, T. F., & Wang, X. (2016). Impacts of Professional Development in Classroom Assessment on Teacher and Student Outcomes. *The Journal of Educational Research*, *109*(5), 491–502. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2014.992581.

Saracaloğlu, A. S., & Dinçer, I. B. (2009). A Study on Correlation Between Self-Efficacy and Academic Motivation of Prospective Teachers. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *1*(1), 320–325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2009.01.060., A. S., & Din

Sarakikya, A. (2022). Reflecting on Higher Education Institutions' Response to Evolving Demands of Human Resources in Tanzania: University of Dar es Salaam Experience. *Papers in Education and Development*, *39*(1). http://www.journals.udsm.ac.tz/index.php/ped/article/download/4571/3977.

Schneider, M., & Preckel, F. (2017). Variables Associated with Achievement in Higher Education: A Systematic Review of Meta-Analyses. *Psychological Bulletin*, *143*(6), 565.

Shine, B., & Heath, S. E. (2020). Techniques for Fostering Self-Regulated Learning via Learning Management Systems in On-Campus and Online Courses. *Journal of Teaching and Learning with Technology*, *9*(1), 119–126. https://doi.org/10.14434/jotlt.v9i1.29014.

Stover, K., Yearta, L., & Harris, C. (2016). Experiential Learning for Preservice Teachers: Digital Book Clubs With Third Graders. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, *32*(1), 5–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2015.1055013.

Sukmayadi, V., & Yahya, A. H. (2020). Indonesian Education Landscape and The 21st Century Challenges. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, *11*(4), 219–234. https://www.learntechlib.org/p/218538/.

Tsui, L. (2002). Fostering Critical Thinking Through Effective Pedagogy: Evidence from Four Institutional Case Studies. *The Journal of Higher Education*, *73*(6), 740–763. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2002.11777179.

Winberg, C., Wright, J., Wolff, K. E., Pallitt, N., Bozalek, V. G., & Conana, H. (2018). Critical Interdisciplinary Dialogues: Towards A Pedagogy of Well-Being in Stem Disciplines and Fields. *South African Journal of Higher Education*, *32*(6), 270–287. https://doi.org/10.20853/32-6-2975.

Wong, J. K. (2004). Are the Learning Styles of Asian International Students Culturally or Contextually Based? *Journal International Education*, *4*(4), 54–59. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ903817.pdf.